

JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK  
Acting Assistant Attorney General  
ALEXANDER K. HAAS  
Branch Director  
DIANE KELLEHER  
BRAD P. ROSENBERG  
Assistant Branch Directors  
M. ANDREW ZEE  
ALEXANDER V. SVERDLOV  
STEPHEN EHRLICH  
Trial Attorneys  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
1100 L Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 305-0550

*Attorneys for Defendants*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE, *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

v.

WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:20-cv-05799-LHK

**FOURTH DECLARATION OF  
ALBERT E. FONTENOT JR.**

1 I, Albert E. Fontenot, Jr., make the following declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and  
2 state under penalty of perjury the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and  
3 belief:

- 4 1. I am making this declaration, my fourth in this case, in response to the Court's direction  
5 to, (Oct. 6, 2020 Hr'g Tr. 20:1-21:12):
- 6 a. Explain what information is contained in the Census Bureau's completion rates  
7 for the nonresponse follow-up ("NRFU") operation published at  
8 <https://2020census.gov/en/response-rates.html>, which are referenced in  
9 paragraphs 16 and 17 of Director Dillingham's October 5, 2020 declaration,  
10 ECF No. 300-1;
  - 11 b. Explain how this information was collected; and,
  - 12 c. Explain the quality assurance processes behind this information.

13 **Census Bureau Completion Rates**

- 14 2. For the first time ever, the Census Bureau is publishing NRFU completion information  
15 online for the 2020 Census while data collection remains ongoing; historically we have  
16 made this information available only in assessments released after completion of the  
17 census. The FAQs on the Census Bureau website provide significant detail on what is  
18 included in the NRFU completion information, [https://2020census.gov/en/response-](https://2020census.gov/en/response-rates/nrfu.html)  
19 [rates/nrfu.html](https://2020census.gov/en/response-rates/nrfu.html).
- 20 3. The first set of statistics listed on the Census Bureau website at  
21 <https://2020census.gov/en/response-rates/self-response.html> is the "Self-Response  
22 Rates Map." This map lists the percentage of households that have self-responded to  
23 the 2020 Census through internet, phone, email, or Mobile Questionnaire Assistance  
24 Centers. The map reports self-response rates down to the census tract level (census  
25 tract sizes vary, but the optimal size is about 4000 people).
- 26 4. The next set of statistics listed on the Census Bureau website at  
27 <https://2020census.gov/en/response-rates/nrfu-completion.html>—and discussed in ¶ 16  
28 of Director Dillingham's declaration—is "Nonresponse Followup Completion Rates."

- 1       5. Briefly, let me reiterate that in the NRFU operation, census field staff, known as  
2       enumerators, attempt to contact non-responding addresses to determine whether each  
3       address in the Master Address File is vacant, occupied, or does not exist; and when  
4       occupied, to collect census response data to enumerate the occupants of the housing  
5       unit. Multiple contact attempts to non-responding addresses may be needed to  
6       determine the housing unit status and, if occupied, to collect decennial census response  
7       data for the occupants.
- 8       6. The basic structure of the 2020 NRFU operation is described in detail in paragraphs 48  
9       to 58 of my first declaration in this case filed on September 4, ECF No. 81-1. I also  
10      note that this information is contained in the Thirteenth Declaration of James Christy,  
11      filed in this Court on October 6, 2020, ECF No. 307-1. I will not repeat this detail, but  
12      rather will summarize that cases are resolved in the NRFU operation through:
- 13           a. Enumeration of the housing unit by an enumerator making contact with a  
14           resident and recording information about the individuals living there;
  - 15           b. Enumeration of the housing unit by an enumerator making contact with a proxy  
16           respondent (such as a landlord or neighbor) and recording information about the  
17           individuals living in the housing unit in question;
  - 18           c. Enumeration of the housing unit—after one unsuccessful in-person attempt—  
19           using high-quality administrative records, where such records are available<sup>1</sup>;
  - 20           d. Classification, based on the enumerator's observation that the housing unit is  
21           vacant, non-existent, or unoccupied;
- 22      7. Other than the use of high quality administrative records where available, the use of  
23      these statuses is largely consistent with how NRFU operations were conducted in prior  
24      censuses, including 2000 and 2010. As indicated later, the decision to use high quality  
25

---

26      <sup>1</sup> We consider administrative records to be of high quality if they are corroborated with multiple  
27      sources. Examples of high-quality administrative records include Internal Revenue Service  
28      Individual Tax Returns, Internal Revenue Service Information Returns, Center for Medicare and  
Medicaid Statistics Enrollment Database, Social Security Number Identification File, and 2010  
Census data.

administrative records predates both the COVID-19 plan and the Replan and was based on testing conducted over the course of the last decade.

8. An address is resolved—and counted as “completed” for purposes of Director Dillingham’s declaration and the NRFU statistics on the website—if the housing unit is determined to be vacant, or, if the housing unit is occupied, information about the household is obtained through one of the NRFU methods listed above. If a housing unit is determined to be occupied but no information has been obtained, then it is *not* considered “completed” for purposes of Director Dillingham’s declaration and the website.
9. The last set of statistics listed on the Census Bureau website at <https://2020census.gov/en/response-rates/nrfu.html>—and discussed in ¶ 17 of Director Dillingham’s declaration—is “Total Response Rates by State.” These statistics convey the total enumeration percentage for each State and for the whole country. The listed “Enumerated” percentage combines the percentage of households that have self-responded and the percentage of households counted through NRFU. A housing unit is counted as “enumerated” or “completed” (for purposes of Director Dillingham’s declaration and the website) if the housing unit is determined to be vacant, or, if the housing unit is occupied, information about the household is obtained through self-response or one of the NRFU methods listed above. If a housing unit is determined to be occupied but no count information has been obtained, then it is *not* considered “enumerated” or “completed.”
10. As of October 7, 2020, the Census Bureau has enumerated 99.8% of the nation’s housing units, with 48 states and D.C. over 99%. Of the 2 remaining states, Mississippi is at 98.8%, and Louisiana at 98.1%. The 2010 Census had an enumeration rate of 99.6% while the 2000 Census had an enumeration rate of 99.45%. In the 2000 Census, 45 States reached a 99% enumeration rate. While we have not published NRFU completion rates from prior censuses, we have published information about count imputation rates. Because we rely on count imputation to account for households for

1 which we have no information, the inverse of the count imputation rate is a good  
2 approximation of the NRFU completion rate.

3 11. Aside from the fact that field data collection was delayed by COVID-19, the Census  
4 Bureau has no indication at this point that the data it has collected in the NRFU  
5 operation is of inferior quality to prior censuses. I also note again for the Court that the  
6 Census Bureau is watching quality indicators closely, and that we formed a Data  
7 Quality Executive Guidance Group to provide direction and approvals about quality  
8 assessments of changes to the operational plans and of the 2020 Census data during and  
9 after the data collection process.

10 12. The Court has posed questions about proxy rates for the 2020 Census. The Census  
11 Bureau has seen nothing in our operational data to give us concern on this point. While  
12 we do not publish proxy rates prior to completion because they will decrease during  
13 post processing, I will note that as of the date of this declaration the proxy rate for  
14 occupied NRFU housing units in the NRFU workload is 23.9%. The equivalent proxy  
15 rate for occupied NRFU housing units in the 2010 Census was 23.8% (as stated in the  
16 [2010 Census Operations Follow Assessment](#)). The 2020 final proxy rate, after post  
17 processing, can be expected to be lower than that observed thus far because we will  
18 resolve cases where we receive duplicate responses. For example, if an apartment  
19 dweller filled out the census online after her landlord had already provided a proxy  
20 response for that individual's apartment unit.

21 13. As of the date of this declaration, 13.9% of the NRFU workload has been completed via  
22 administrative records. As I explained in paragraphs 54-58 in my September 5  
23 declaration, ECF No. 86-1, using administrative records was a central feature of the  
24 2020 Census design, which long predated the Replan.

25 14. In accordance with our 2020 Census Operational Plan v.4 (Dec. 2018), we also are  
26 using high quality administrative records to resolve housings units as vacants and  
27 deletes. If a knowledgeable person cannot be found to confirm a status of vacant or  
28 non-existent, use of administrative records may provide confirmation of the

1 enumerator's assessment. The Census Bureau does not rely on a single administrative  
2 records source to determine whether an address is vacant or non-existent. Rather,  
3 multiple sources are necessary in this instance to provide the confidence and  
4 corroboration before administrative records are used. When used in combination with  
5 an enumerator's assessment of vacant or non-existent, corroborated administrative  
6 records provide the second confirmation that a nonresponding address is vacant or non-  
7 existent.

- 8 15. If, after the first in-person contact attempt, the enumerator believes the address is  
9 occupied, but no knowledgeable person is available to complete the enumeration, the  
10 Census Bureau will use consistent and high-quality administrative records from trusted  
11 sources as the response for the household and no further contact will be attempted.
- 12 16. The operational design for NRFU evolved over the course of the decade. Use of  
13 administrative records, field management structures, systems, procedures, data  
14 collection tools and techniques were proven in tests occurring in 2013, 2014, 2015,  
15 2016, and 2018.

#### 16 **Quality Assurance Procedures in NRFU**

- 17 17. As I explained in paragraphs 59 to 65 in my first declaration in this lawsuit, filed on  
18 September 4, 2020 at ECF No. 81-1, the Census Bureau is committed to a quality  
19 NRFU operation and has in place several programs to monitor and promote quality,  
20 such as the NRFU Reinterview Program, the Decennial Field Quality Monitoring  
21 Operation, and the Coverage Improvement Operation.
- 22 18. The use of technology in the Nonresponse Followup data collection has allowed the  
23 Census Bureau to build in real-time measures to ensure data quality. Unlike a paper-  
24 form environment used in previous Decennial Censuses—which relies on enumerator  
25 compliance with instructions for proper completion—the 2020 Census incorporates a  
26 series of automated checks and confirmations directly in the data-collection process.  
27 Further, the availability of real-time information from the enumeration devices (such as  
28 the enumerator's physical location at the time of the interview, the length of the

1 interview, and the time spent on each question) allows us to intervene to address any  
2 data quality issues that may arise. For example, we use a series of “system alerts”  
3 where supervisors are notified and act to correct outliers on things like proxy rates, pace  
4 of work, and payroll issues. Ensuring we collect quality data on the 2020 Census is  
5 embedded directly in the field data collection process as originally planned, used in the  
6 COVID-19 plan, and used in the Replan.

7 19. The NRFU Reinterview Program involves contacting between 2.5% and 3% of the total  
8 households in the NRFU workload to conduct another interview to help us ensure that  
9 enumerators are conducting their jobs correctly and are not falsifying responses.  
10 Falsification of responses by enumerators occurs in relatively rare instances where an  
11 enumerator improperly attempts to report and be paid for enumerations they have not,  
12 in fact, conducted. The program as originally planned included both a randomly-  
13 selected component and an analytically-selected component. For the analytically-  
14 selected component, we use data from the enumerators’ mobile devices to tell us where  
15 the enumerators were physically located while they were conducting the interviews,  
16 how long they spent on each question in the interview, time of day of the interview, and  
17 other detail data about the interview process. Having this information—much of which  
18 is new for the 2020 Census—has provided management with information on how the  
19 census takers are doing their jobs, and allowed us to select reinterview cases in a  
20 targeted fashion. The random component involves simply sending randomly-selected  
21 cases for reinterview.

22 20. In developing the Replan, the Census Bureau reevaluated all of its operations, including  
23 the random component of the NRFU Reinterview Program. As a result of this  
24 reevaluation, the Replan contemplated the elimination of the random component, based  
25 on the belief that that the analytic component of the program would provide better  
26 information to help ensure that enumerators were conducting their jobs correctly. As of  
27 the date of this declaration we have conducted 605,481 random reinterviews and  
28

1 923,575 analytic reinterviews. This is a substantially higher percentage of random  
2 reinterviews (38.1%) than that contemplated by the COVID-19 Plan.

3 21. We ceased sending random reinterview cases on September 25 because, as noted  
4 above, we determined that the analytic component of the program was more effective  
5 and, therefore, we decided to focus our resources on that aspect of the program. The  
6 Census Bureau historically has made dynamic adjustments of this type.

7 22. A second quality check program, new for the 2020 Census, is the Decennial Field  
8 Quality Monitoring operation which has been part of our plan prior to COVID. This  
9 operation monitors overall adherence to field procedures in order to identify unusual  
10 patterns. The goal of the program is to identify and investigate potential quality issues.  
11 In this program we examine data from individual enumerators and larger scale data,  
12 scanning for the possibility of both individual and systemic data quality problems. The  
13 program monitors outlier metrics, and produces reports that we analyze on a daily basis.  
14 Management staff use these reports to investigate anomalous activities and follow up as  
15 needed.

16 23. Another quality check operation, the Coverage Improvement Operation, seeks to  
17 resolve erroneous enumerations (people who were counted in the wrong place or  
18 counted more than once) and omissions (people who were missed) from all housing  
19 unit data. Coverage Improvement has helped us resolve potential coverage issues  
20 identified in responses from the Internet Self-Response, Census Questionnaire  
21 Assistance, and NRFU operations, as well as from the paper questionnaires. This  
22 operation has been part of our plan since before COVID-19 disrupted operations.

23 24. The Census Bureau believes that the embedded quality measures in the data collection  
24 process and these quality programs (Reinterview, Decennial Field Quality Monitoring,  
25 and Coverage Improvement), taken together, provide a robust quality check for our data  
26 collection operations. We believe that our quality program remains an effective  
27 deterrent to poor performance, and an appropriate method to identify enumerators who  
28 fail to follow procedures, superior to the quality-control measures used in prior



1 censuses. None of these programs, to date, reveals a pattern of substandard data  
2 collection.

3  
4 I have read the foregoing and it is all true and correct.

5 DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of October, 2020

6  
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 Albert E. Fontenot, Jr.